A new reading of primary sources via the digital analysis of social networks.
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At the Hague Summit (1 and 2 December 1969) the six EEC heads of state and government decided to proceed at the ‘deepening’ of the EEC especially through two aspects: economic and monetary cooperation and political cooperation. They agreed that a plan by stages should be drawn up by the Council in 1970 for the establishment of an economic and monetary union. An ad hoc committee would be set up for this purpose. The group was formed of the leaders of the various specialized committees of the EEC, who also held high national offices. Pierre Werner, at that time Prime Minister and Finance minister of Luxembourg, was appointed chair of the group, which was not just a highly political choice but a considered act in favour of a man with a strong reputation in economic and monetary matters and for forging a consensus. After seven months of work, discussions, horse-trading and reversals, a consensus was hammered out in the group of experts and the Werner Report was approved. On 8 October 1970, Pierre Werner presented it publicly in Luxembourg. Despite the fact that the the Werner Report was put on hold in the mid 1970s the plan by stages became a blueprint for EMU in the European Community, as the Delors Report and the Maastricht Treaty would confirm twenty years later.¹

¹ The ad hoc committee was composed by the chairs of the EEC Monetary Committee (Bernard Clappier, deputy governor of the Banque de France); of the Committee of Governors of the Central Banks (Hubert Aniaux, governor of the National Bank of Belgium); of the Medium-Term Economic Policy Committee (Johann Schöllhorn, state secretary in the German federal economics ministry); of the Anti-Cyclical Policy Committee (Gerard Brouwers, state secretary in the Dutch economics ministry); and of the Budgetary Committee (Gaetano Stammati, treasurer-general in the Italian ministry of the treasury). The Commission was represented by the director-general for economic...
This pilot project was carried out in connection with the CVCE’s strategy of combining humanities and social sciences research with digital tools and publishing the results via its digital research infrastructure on European integration, CVCE.eu.

Methods borrowed from social network analysis and qualitative data analysis were applied to a specific section of the digital publication on the 1970 Werner Report, a publication which was compiled on the basis of the ‘traditional’ exploration and interpretation of a vast number of previously unpublished primary sources.²

The aim of the analysis was to summarise and visualise the negotiations within the Werner Committee so as to shed new light on the historical facts. By using this innovative technique we were able to demonstrate links, affinities and oppositions among the members of the committee, identify the influence of individual Member States in the ongoing dispute between ‘economists’ and ‘monetarists’ which characterised the debates on EMU, and highlight contributions to the political consensus on the Werner Report.

From a methodological perspective, we started by setting a timeframe (the period 1969–1972) and selecting a corpus of 51 relevant documents (official and confidential correspondence between the members of the Werner Committee and associated figures — a total of 25 people). We then established an encoding method, with a system for classifying the aims of each document (analysis, information, political influence, etc.), the relationships between correspondents, the subjects covered (‘economic union’, ‘monetary union’, ‘political union’, ‘institutions’, etc.) and, finally, areas of agreement and disagreement. On this basis, we manually extracted relational data which describe the complexity of the interactions between correspondents. This opened up a bird’s eye perspective of how the debates developed within the group as well as an overview of the process as a whole. We visualised this data using NodeXL and RAW by Density Design.

![Figure 1: Relation between the nationalities of correspondents and the topics they discussed.](image)

In our presentation we will pay particular attention to the added value of this method for historical research. The application of this method has so far provided us with four types of results. We have been able to 1) confirm and consolidate the conclusions of traditional research; 2) provide a relevant visual representation of the processes: the diagrams and graphs showing relationships proved to be essential in exploring and interpreting the data; 3) decipher the information contained in primary affairs (DG II), Ugo Mosca. The composition of the group was such that only Luxembourg was not represented: no one from the Grand Duchy chaired any of these committees. It was at this point that Pierre Werner’s name was put forward.²

sources in a different way, over and above a traditional human interpretation, and reveal new conclusions or avenues for research; 4) in a broader sense, reinvent and enhance the traditional methods used by historians. These digital technologies enabled us to identify recurrences and regular patterns in all the documents and to take into account their multiple dimensions.